A bifunctional O-GlcNAc transferase governs flagellar motility through anti-repression
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Flagellar motility is an essential mechanism by which bacteria adapt to and survive in diverse environments. Although flagella confer an advantage to many bacterial pathogens for colonization during infection, bacterial flagellins also stimulate host innate immune responses. Consequently, many bacterial pathogens down-regulate flagella production following initial infection. Listeria monocytogenes is a facultative intracellular pathogen that represses transcription of flagellar motility genes at physiological temperatures (37°C and above). Temperature-dependent expression of flagellar motility genes is mediated by the opposing activities of MogR, a DNA-binding transcriptional repressor, and DegU, a response regulator that functions as an indirect antagonist of MogR. In this study, we identify an additional component of the molecular circuitry governing temperature-dependent flagellar gene expression. At low temperatures (30°C and below), MogR repression activity is specifically inhibited by an anti-repressor, GmaR. We demonstrate that GmaR forms a stable complex with MogR, preventing MogR from binding its DNA target sites. GmaR anti-repression activity is temperature dependent due to DegU-dependent transcriptional activation of gmaR at low temperatures. Thus, GmaR production represents the first committed step for flagella production in L. monocytogenes. Interestingly, GmaR also functions as a glycosyltransferase exhibiting O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase (OGT) activity for flagellin (FlaA). GmaR is the first OGT to be identified and characterized in prokaryotes that specifically O-GlcNAcylates a prokaryotic protein. Unlike the well-characterized, highly conserved OGT regulatory protein in eukaryotes, the catalytic activity of GmaR is functionally separable from its anti-repression function. These results establish GmaR as the first known example of a bifunctional protein that transcriptionally regulates expression of its enzymatic substrate.
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Flagellar motility is a fundamental mechanism by which bacteria acquire nutrients, colonize surfaces, and establish infections. Although flagella confer a growth advantage in many environments, production of flagella is a complex, energy-demanding developmental process and thus is exquisitely regulated in response to many environmental cues (Aldridge and Hughes 2002; Macnab 2003). For example, flagella can enhance adherence and invasion in the early stages of host infection, yet continuous production of flagella during infection can stimulate innate immune responses (Hayashi et al. 2001; Moloský et al. 2006; Ren et al. 2006) or impede subsequent colonization events (for review, see Ramos et al. 2004). Thus, many facultative bacterial pathogens down-regulate production of flagella shortly after infection [Akerley et al. 1995; Hughes and Galan 2002]. A primary environmental cue that initiates repression of flagellar gene transcription during infection is physiological temperature (37°C) [Ott et al. 1991; Akerley and Miller 1993; Kapatral et al. 1996]. Listeria monocytogenes is a food-borne facultative intracellular pathogen that down-regulates flagellar gene expression upon encountering physiological temperatures (37°C and above) [Peel et al. 1988]. While flagellar motility is essential for L. monocytogenes biofilm formation and persistence in specific environments, such as food processing plants [Vatanyoopaisarn et al. 2000], constitutive expression of flagellar genes during infection attenuates the virulence of L. monocytogenes [Gründling et al. 2004]. Recent studies have partially characterized the molecular circuitry governing temperature-dependent flagellar gene expression in L. monocytogenes. At
physiological temperatures, MogR, a transcriptional repressor, inhibits flagellar gene transcription by directly binding to flagellar gene promoters (Gründling et al. 2004; Shen and Higgins 2006). Interestingly, MogR protein levels are temperature independent (Shen and Higgins 2006), suggesting that post-translational regulation of MogR function permits flagellar gene expression at low temperatures [30°C and below]. Modulation of MogR repression activity at low temperatures is mediated by a response regulator, DegU (Shen and Higgins 2006). Although expression of DegU is required for flagellar gene transcription at low temperatures [Knudsen et al. 2004; Williams et al. 2005], DegU is largely dispensable for flagellar gene transcription in the absence of MogR (Shen and Higgins 2006). Thus, DegU, or a DegU-regulated factor, antagonizes MogR repression activity at low temperatures.

Expression of the flagellin subunit [FlaA] is also regulated at the post-transcriptional and post-translational level in *L. monocytogenes*. Maximal FlaA protein production requires DegU, since MogR-, DegU-negative bacteria overexpress flaA transcripts but fail to produce wild-type levels of FlaA protein (Shen and Higgins 2006). Thus, DegU regulates FlaA expression at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level. FlaA also undergoes a post-translational modification. FlaA subunits are covalently modified by monomeric β-O-linked N-acetylglucosamine [GlcNAc] residues at three to six sites per subunit (Schirm et al. 2004). The functional consequence of flagellin glycosylation in *L. monocytogenes*, or in any Gram-positive bacterium, remains unknown. In many Gram-negative bacteria, flagellin glycosylation regulates its secretion and modulates host immune responses against flagellin (Logan et al. 2002; Takeuchi et al. 2003; Verma et al. 2005; Logan 2006). Nevertheless, the observation that FlaA is modified by β-O-linked GlcNAc residues indicates that *L. monocytogenes* encodes an enzyme with β-O-linked GlcNAc transferase (OGT) activity for FlaA. Whereas no bacterial enzyme with OGT activity for a prokaryotic protein has been characterized to date (Schirm et al. 2004), the highly conserved OGT enzyme in eukaryotes covalently modifies numerous nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins to regulate processes ranging from apoptosis to insulin metabolism (for review, see Love and Hanover 2005).

In this report, we identify the first bacterial OGT specific for a prokaryotic protein as GmaR, a DegU-regulated flagellin glycosyltransferase that mediates β-O-linked GlcNAc modification of FlaA in *L. monocytogenes*. GmaR is required for flagellar motility, as GmaR-negative bacteria are nonmotile. This motility defect, however, is not due to a failure to secrete FlaA, as observed in glycosyltransferase mutants of other organisms, but rather due to a defect in flagellar gene transcription. Our studies indicate that GmaR permits flagellar gene expression at low temperatures by binding directly to MogR and inhibiting its ability to bind target sequences in flagellar gene promoters. Thus, GmaR also functions as an anti-repressor for MogR. We further demonstrate that DegU-dependent, temperature-regulated production of GmaR is the first committed step for flagellar elaboration in *L. monocytogenes*. Unlike OGT-mediated transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes, the OGT activity of GmaR is dispensable for its anti-repressor function. Thus, our findings reveal GmaR as the first example of a bifunctional glycosyltransferase that transcriptionally regulates the expression of its enzymatic substrate.

### Results

**DegU regulates glycosylation of FlaA**

We previously demonstrated that deletion of *degU* in MogR-negative bacteria (*ΔmogR ΔdegU*) reduces FlaA levels without affecting *flaA* transcription (Shen and Higgins 2006). Post-transcriptional regulation of flagellin levels has previously been observed in other bacteria. In *Helicobacter pylori*, inactivation of flagellin glycosyltransferase genes severely decreases flagellin levels without altering transcription of the flagellin gene (Schirm et al. 2003). Glycosyltransferase mutants also exhibit diminished flagellin levels in *Helicobacter felis*, *Campylobacter crescentus*, *Campylobacter sp.*, and *Aeromonas sp.* (for review, see Logan 2006), underscoring the role that glycosylation plays in regulating flagellin levels. Since *L. monocytogenes* FlaA is heavily glycosylated, and glycosyltransferase mutants in other bacteria are defective in flagellin production, we reasoned that glycosylation of FlaA in *L. monocytogenes* might similarly be required for maximal FlaA production. Specifically, we hypothesized that the reduced levels of FlaA observed in *ΔmogR ΔdegU* might be attributable to a defect in FlaA glycosylation. To examine this possibility, β-O-linked GlcNAc modification of FlaA in *ΔmogR ΔdegU* and *ΔmogR* was compared by Western blot analysis using an antibody specific for β-O-linked GlcNAc (Comer et al. 2001). If DegU regulates FlaA glycosylation, the proportion of β-O-linked GlcNAc modification of FlaA should be lower in *ΔmogR ΔdegU* relative to *ΔmogR*, in addition to *ΔmogR ΔdegU* producing less FlaA than *ΔmogR* (Supplementary Figure S1, left panel; Shen and Higgins 2006). Comparison of total cell-wall-associated FlaA to β-O-linked GlcNAc-modified FlaA revealed that the proportion of modified FlaA in *ΔmogR ΔdegU* was lower than that of *ΔmogR* (Supplementary Figure S1, cf. left and right panels), suggesting that the absence of DegU expression impairs FlaA glycosylation.

**Lmo0688 is required for flaA transcription and FlaA glycosylation**

To further explore the relationship between FlaA glycosylation and FlaA production in *ΔmogR ΔdegU*, we attempted to identify the putative DegU-regulated glycosyltransferase responsible for modifying FlaA. Since all characterized flagellin glycosyltransferase genes are located within close proximity to the gene encoding flagellin (Logan 2006), we searched the flagellar motility locus of *L. monocytogenes* for genes encoding glycosyltrans-

Согласно результатам исследования транспонной мутагенезы, ин-рам делетантный мутант \textit{lmo0688} [\textit{Δlmo0688}] оказался в немотильных бактериях [Фиг. 1А]. У флагеллярных гликосилтрансфераз мутантов \textit{Helicobacter} sp. и \textit{Campylobacter} sp. на неактивный ген приводил к недостаточной гликосилатации флА [Josenhans et al. 2002; Goon et al. 2003], поэтому мы исследовали флА-уровни в клетках отдельных частей \textit{Δlmo0688} по SDS-PAGE и выявлены края. Отсутствие мотильности и флА-продукция \textit{Δlmo0688} была исключительно связано с отсутствием \textit{lmo0688}, поскольку гетерологическая экспрессия \textit{lmo0688} из эктопической локуса в \textit{Δlmo0688} бактерии [\textit{Δlmo0688}/c688] восстановила мотильность и флА-продукцию (Фиг. 1А,Б). Чтобы определить, если транскрипционная или пост-транскрипционная механизмы ответственны за полное отсутствие детектируемого флА, мы исследовали флА транскрипт в \textit{Δlmo0688}. Сюрпризно, флА транскрипты были неуловимы в \textit{Δlmo0688} по северной тенденции [Фиг. 1С] и докладывали о флуидности флА гликосилтрансферазы, \textit{lmo0688}, постоянно играя важную роль в регуляции транскрипции гена, кодирующего его строительный флА.

Найти флА транскрипт требовало \textit{lmo0688} экспрессион, чтобы предположить, что \textit{lmo0688} мог бы регулировать транскрипцию других флагеллярных генов. Транскрипционное профилирование \textit{Δlmo0688} показало, что транскрипция всех известных флагеллярных мотильности генов была подавлена в \textit{Δlmo0688} относительное к контролю.

\textit{lmo0688} is the DegU-regulated factor that antagonizes MogR repression activity

С момента определения \textit{mogR} в оба \textit{Δlmo0688} или \textit{ΔdegU} бактерии восстанавливали экспрессию флА (Фиг. 1; Супplementary Fig. S1), что подтверждает гипотезу, что DegU и \textit{lmo0688} регулируются сходным образом. Чтобы это исследовать, мы сравнили транскрипционные профили \textit{Δlmo0688}, \textit{ΔdegU}, и \textit{mogR} относительно к контролю типа при использовании иерархического анализа и были взаимно регулированы в перегрев-независимой манере, регулируемой МогР [репрессировано при 37°C] и \textit{DegU}/\textit{lmo0688} (активирован при комнатной температуре) (Фиг. 2).
This observation strongly suggested that Lmo0688 and DegU share overlapping roles with respect to modulating MogR repression of flagellar motility gene expression.

To further understand the relationship between response regulator (DegU) and glycosyltransferase (Lmo0688), we explored the possibility that Lmo0688 functions directly downstream from DegU. Previous microarray studies demonstrated that lmo0688 is both DegU-activated (Williams et al. 2005) and MogR-repressed (Shen and Higgins 2006). Based on these data, we hypothesized that DegU regulates transcription of lmo0688 to permit Lmo0688-mediated antagonization of MogR repression activity and FlaA glycosylation. Therefore, we reasoned that constitutive expression of Lmo0688 in ΔdegU should relieve MogR transcriptional repression of flaA and other flagellar motility genes. We expressed Lmo0688 in ΔdegU (ΔdegU/c688) using the same ectopic site-specific integration construct that complemented Δ688 for FlaA expression and motility (Williams et al. 2005) and MogR-repressed (Shen and Higgins 2006). Based on these data, we hypothesized that DegU regulates transcription of lmo0688 to permit Lmo0688-mediated antagonization of MogR repression activity and FlaA glycosylation. Therefore, we reasoned that constitutive expression of Lmo0688 in ΔdegU should relieve MogR transcriptional repression of flaA and other flagellar motility genes. We expressed Lmo0688 in ΔdegU (ΔdegU/c688) using the same ectopic site-specific integration construct that complemented Δ688 for FlaA expression and motility (Fig. 1A, B). Examination of flaA transcript levels in ΔdegU/c688 by Northern blot revealed that ectopic expression of Lmo0688 in ΔdegU resulted in flaA transcription [Fig. 3A]. This result was not limited to flaA, as transcription of other flagellar motility genes was restored in ΔdegU/c688 [data not shown]. This observation suggests that Lmo0688 is a DegU-regulated factor required to relieve MogR repression. Nonetheless, although transcription of flaA was observed in ΔdegU/c688, FlaA protein levels were significantly reduced compared with wild type, and ΔdegU/c688 bacteria were nonmotile (Fig. 3B, C). This result is consistent with our previous observation that DegU is required for maximizing FlaA production at both a transcriptional and post-transcriptional level (Shen and Higgins 2006).

The glycosyltransferase activity of Lmo0688 is dispensable for its anti-repressor function

Although our data indicated that Lmo0688 is sufficient to antagonize MogR repression activity, the mechanism by which Lmo0688 functions as an anti-repressor remained unclear. Bioinformatic analyses of Lmo0688 predicted the presence of a Family 2 glycosyltransferase domain and three tetratricopeptide repeat [TPR] domains [Fig. 4A]. TPR domains have been shown to mediate protein–protein interactions and modulate the substrate specificity of glycosyltransferase domains (Blatch and Lassle 1999; Lubas and Hanover 2000; Iyer and Hart 2003). Intriguingly, a link between glycosyltransferase activity and transcriptional regulation has long been recognized in eukaryotes [for review, see Love and Hanover 2005]. OGT-mediated dynamic β-O-linked GlcNAc glycosylation of transcription factors, RNA polymerase II, and even the proteasome regulates both transcriptional
repression and activation [Jackson and Tjian 1988; Yang et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2003]. Since Lmo0688 and OGT share identical enzymatic functions, we examined the possibility that the putative glycosyltransferase activity of Lmo0688 is required for its anti-repression function.

To first assess glycosyltransferase activity of Lmo0688, we determined whether purified His6-tagged Lmo0688 could O-GlcNAcylate its predicted substrate, FlaA. When purified Lmo0688 was incubated with whole-cell lysates of ΔmogR Δ688 bacteria, which contained unglycosylated FlaA, Lmo0688 mediated transfer of [14C]-UDP-GlcNAc to an ~30-kDa protein [Fig. 4C, lanes 5–8]. In contrast, glycosylation of this ~30-kDa protein was not observed upon incubation of purified Lmo0688 with whole-cell extracts of ΔmogR Δ688 flaA bacteria, implying that the ~30-kDa modified protein is FlaA and that FlaA is the primary substrate of Lmo0688 [Fig. 4C, lanes 1–4]. β-O-linked GlcNAc modification of FlaA could also be detected upon incubation of purified Lmo0688 with extracts prepared from Escherichia coli heterologously expressing L. monocytogenes FlaA [data not shown]. Since E. coli lacks endogenous OGT activity (Lubas and Hanover 2000), this latter result strongly suggests that Lmo0688 directly mediates O-GlcNAcylation of FlaA. In contrast, O-linked modification of MogR could not be detected by immunoprecipitation and Western blot analyses or with the in vitro glycosylation assay [data not shown].

Given that Lmo0688 has glycosyltransferase activity, we examined whether this activity was required for Lmo0688 to function as an anti-repressor. To this end, we inactivated the catalytic function of Lmo0688 and examined the effect of this mutation on FlaA expression. Since Family 2 glycosyltransferases are defined by an invariant DxD motif that constitutes their active site [Campbell et al. 1997; Unligil et al. 2000], we aligned Lmo0688 with 12 bacterial Family 2 glycosyltransferases to identify the DxD motif of Lmo0688 [amino acids 83–85] [Fig. 4B]. Incubation of purified His6-tagged Lmo0688 carrying active site mutations [D83N D85N] with cell extracts prepared from ΔmogR Δ688 bacteria confirmed that the DxD motif is essential for catalytic function [Fig. 4C, lanes 9–12]. When these active site mutations were introduced into lmo0688 in its native locus within L. monocytogenes [688*], flagellar motility remained intact [Fig. 4D], although FlaA glycosylation was ablated [Fig. 4E]. Thus, the glycosyltransferase activity of Lmo0688 is not required to antagonize MogR repression function, indicating that the catalytic and regulatory activities of Lmo0688 are functionally distinct. In addition, the observation that 688* was fully motile [Fig. 4D] demonstrates that flagellin glycosylation does not affect the production, assembly, or function of flagella in L. monocytogenes as previously suggested.

Figure 3. Lmo0688 is the DegU-regulated factor that antagonizes MogR repression activity. [A] Northern blot analysis of flaA transcript levels. RNA was harvested from L. monocytogenes strains wild type (wt), ΔdegU, ΔdegU/c688, ΔmogR, ΔmogR Δ688, and Δ688 following growth for 20 h in BHI broth at room temperature. [B] Western blot analysis of FlaA protein levels. Cultures were grown 6 h in BHI broth at room temperature. Whole-cell lysates were analyzed using a FlaA-specific antibody. [C] Motility analysis of strains used in B. A single colony was inoculated in 0.375% BHI agar and incubated for 48 h at room temperature. Since Lmo0688 lacks a predicted DNA-binding motif, domain interactions (Blatch and Lassle 1999; Iyer and Hart 2003). We showed recently that MogR represses transcription of all known flagellar motility genes by directly binding to TTTT-N5-AAAA sites in flagellar promoter region DNA (Shen and Higgins 2006). It is possible that Lmo0688 interferes with MogR repression activity by [1] binding DNA sequences that overlap MogR target sites and excluding MogR binding, [2] interacting directly with MogR and altering its ability to bind promoter region DNA, or [3] interacting with another factor that is not DegU regulated, but is present at low temperatures, to inhibit MogR binding to promoter region DNA.

To distinguish these possibilities, we examined whether Lmo0688 altered the ability of MogR to bind to its target sequences by gel mobility shift analysis. Incubation of radiolabeled flaA promoter region DNA with purified His6-tagged MogR resulted in the formation of shifted, supershifted and supersupershifted DNA complexes [Fig. 5A, lane 2; Shen and Higgins 2006]. These shifted DNA complexes disappeared when increasing amounts of purified His6-tagged Lmo0688 were added to a constant amount of purified His6-tagged MogR [40 nM] previously bound to flaA promoter region DNA [Fig. 5A, lanes 3–6]. Since Lmo0688 alone failed to bind and shift flaA promoter region DNA [Fig. 5A, lanes 8–11], these results suggest that Lmo0688 directly interacts with MogR and not flaA promoter region DNA. Importantly, MogR retained its ability to bind and shift flaA promoter region DNA.
region DNA in the presence of purified His₆-tagged DegU [Fig. 5A, lane 7], indicating that Lmo0688 specifically mediates release of MogR from target DNA sequences. Furthermore, Lmo0688 was sufficient to inhibit MogR binding to cheY promoter region DNA, suggesting that Lmo0688 directly relieves MogR repression of all flagellar motility gene promoters (Supplementary Fig. S2A).

Since gel shift analysis suggested that Lmo0688 and MogR physically interact, we used affinity purification to determine if a direct and stable protein–protein interaction occurs between MogR and Lmo0688. Nickel affinity purification was performed with Ni-NTA agarose beads incubated with purified His₆-tagged Lmo0688 and cell lysates prepared from L. monocytogenes strains.

Western blot analysis of affinity-purified binding reactions revealed that His₆-tagged Lmo0688 associated with MogR in lysates prepared from wild type and ΔmogR, a strain overexpressing MogR under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter [Fig. 5B, lanes 2,3]. The interaction between Lmo0688 and MogR was specific, since MogR was not detected in the absence of His₆-tagged Lmo0688 [Fig. 5B, lane 1]. The reverse pull-down assay, using His₆-tagged MogR and L. monocytogenes cell lysates expressing Lmo0688, specifically pulled down Lmo0688 (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Additional factors are not required for this interaction, since binding was observed between purified His₆-tagged proteins using co-immunoprecipitation studies with a MogR-specific antibody, and analysis of pull-down reactions by Coomassie...
stain failed to identify additional interacting proteins (data not shown). Taken together, our results indicate that a direct and stable protein–protein interaction occurs between Lmo0688 and MogR and that this specific interaction inhibits MogR repression of flagellar motility gene expression.

Temperature-dependent expression of Lmo0688 confers temperature specificity to flagellar motility gene transcription

We have previously shown that MogR continuously represses transcription of flagellar motility genes. However, MogR repression is less stringent at low temperatures to permit flagella production and motility (Shen and Higgins 2006). Although our results indicate a mechanism by which Lmo0688 relieves MogR repression, the underlying mechanism governing temperature specificity for Lmo0688-mediated anti-repression remained unknown. To determine if the temperature regulation of MogR repression was due to changes in Lmo0688 expression, we examined lmo0688 transcript and Lmo0688 protein levels in L. monocytogenes cultures grown at either room temperature or 37°C. Strikingly, Lmo0688 was detected only at room temperature and not at 37°C in wild-type L. monocytogenes due to temperature-dependent transcription of lmo0688 (Fig. 6A,B). Expression of Lmo0688 appeared to be both DegU-activated and MogR-repressed (Fig. 6A), a result consistent with previous microarray studies (Williams et al. 2005; Shen and Higgins 2006). Lmo0688 was not detectable in ΔdegU bacteria, while deletion of mogR in

Figure 5. Lmo0688 removes MogR bound to flaA promoter region DNA by protein–protein interaction. (A) Gel shift analysis of MogR and Lmo0688 binding to flaA promoter region DNA. Radiolabeled flaA promoter region DNA spanning −162 to +8 relative to the transcriptional start site was incubated with a constant amount (40 nM) of purified His6-tagged MogR to which increasing concentrations of His6-tagged Lmo0688 (lanes 2–6) or 240 nM His6-tagged DegU (lane 7) was added. (Lanes 8–11) Increasing concentrations of His6-tagged Lmo0688 alone was incubated with radiolabeled flaA promoter region DNA. The binding reactions were separated by nondenaturing PAGE and detected by autoradiography. Shifted (S), supershifted (SS), and supersupershifted (SSS) DNA complexes are indicated. (B) Pull-down assay of MogR by Ni2+ affinity purification of His6-tagged Lmo0688. Purified His6-tagged Lmo0688 was incubated with cell lysates prepared from L. monocytogenes strains wild type (wt), ΔmogR, and ΔmogR ΔmogR. His6-tagged Lmo0688 and interacting proteins were isolated using Ni-NTA agarose beads. Proteins isolated in the pull-down assay were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and analyzed by Western blot using either a MogR- or Lmo0688-specific antibody.

Figure 6. Temperature-dependent expression of Lmo0688 confers temperature specificity to flagellar motility gene transcription. (A) Western blot analysis of Lmo0688 in whole-cell lysates using an Lmo0688-specific antibody. L. monocytogenes strains wild type (wt), ΔdegU, ΔdegU/c688, ΔdegU/c688 ΔmogR, and ΔmogR ΔdegU were grown for 6 h at room temperature or 37°C in BHI broth. Fivefold more sample was loaded for ΔmogR ΔdegU. (B) Northern blot analysis of lmo0688 transcript levels. RNA was harvested from strains grown in A. Blots were overexposed to detect the presence of lmo0688 transcript in the wild-type sample at room temperature. (C) Analysis of flaA promoter activity determined by β-galactosidase assays. flaA::Tn917 transposon insertion-derived strains were grown for 18–20 h at room temperature or 37°C in BHI broth. β-Galactosidase activities represent the means and standard deviations of three independent experiments.
ΔdegU bacteria [ΔmogR ΔdegU] only partially restored Lmo0688 protein levels [Fig. 6A]. Taken together, our results suggest that DegU-mediated activation of lmo0688 transcription at low temperatures allows for Lmo0688 production to relieve MogR-mediated repression of lmo0688 and other flagellar motility genes.

If temperature regulation of MogR repression is simply due to the differential expression of Lmo0688, constitutive expression of Lmo0688 at a nonpermissive temperature (37°C) should result in transcription of flagellar motility genes. Although MogR stringently represses transcription of flaA at 37°C in wild-type bacteria, ectopic expression of lmo0688 in ΔdegU/c688 and Δ688/c688 resulted in robust transcription of flaA at 37°C as determined by β-galactosidase reporter assays [Fig. 6C]. These results indicate that Lmo0688 can be biologically active as an anti-repressor at elevated temperatures. Nonetheless, despite elevated levels of flaA transcription at 37°C, a defect in FlaA production and motility was still observed [data not shown]. This result is consistent with prior studies indicating that, despite overexpressing flaA transcripts at 37°C, ΔmogR bacteria are nonmotile and produce less FlaA protein at 37°C than at room temperature (Shen and Higgins 2006). Collectively, these results indicate that temperature-dependent, DegU-mediated transcriptional activation of lmo0688 allows for expression of flagellar motility genes specifically at low temperatures. Furthermore, additional post-transcriptional, temperature-dependent mechanisms regulate FlaA production and flagellar motility to restrict flagellar motility to low temperatures.

Discussion

Temperature-dependent expression of flagellar motility genes in L. monocytogenes is mediated by the opposing activities of MogR, a transcriptional repressor, and DegU, an indirect antagonist of MogR. In this study, we identified a previously uncharacterized regulatory component that permits expression of flagellar motility genes at low temperatures. We demonstrate that MogR repression activity is inhibited at low temperatures by a MogR anti-repressor, Lmo0688. Transcription of lmo0688 is controlled in a temperature-dependent manner by the DegU response regulator. Surprisingly, in addition to functioning as an anti-repressor for MogR, Lmo0688 is also a flagellin glycosyltransferase. Therefore, Lmo0688 transcriptionally regulates expression of FlaA, the substrate for its glycosyltransferase activity. Based on the bifunctionality of this protein, we have designated Lmo0688, GmaR, to indicate its dual role as a glycosyltransferase and motility anti-repressor.

GmaR functions as an anti-repressor for MogR

Our initial attempts to demonstrate that GmaR was the flagellin glycosyltransferase in L. monocytogenes were hindered by the fact that GmaR-negative bacteria fail to express FlaA. Surprisingly, this was due to a defect in flaA transcription rather than a defect in FlaA stability [Fig. 1C]. Since transcriptional profiling of ΔgmaR showed complete repression of all flagellar motility genes at room temperature [Supplementary Table S1], and flagellar motility gene transcription was restored upon deletion of mogR in a GmaR-negative strain [Fig. 1C], we determined that GmaR was required to relieve MogR-mediated repression. Interestingly, GmaR is the first prokaryotic glycosyltransferase to play a role in transcriptional regulation.

Although this is a novel role for a bacterial glycosyltransferase, OGTs in eukaryotic systems use the same β-O-linked GlcNAc moiety to modify and functionally alter proteins involved in transcriptional regulation [Jackson and Tjian 1988; Yang et al. 2002; Love and Hanover 2005]. Therefore, we explored the possibility that glycosylation of either MogR or another unidentified substrate, resulted in antagonization of MogR repression. However, mutation of the glycosyltransferase domain of GmaR revealed that glycosylation was not required for the anti-repressor function of GmaR [Fig. 4D].

Since GmaR functions as an anti-repressor in the absence of its glycosyltransferase activity, it is evident that GmaR is a bifunctional protein with two distinct activities. The C-terminal domain of GmaR has little homology with any known proteins and may function sepa-
Bifunctional OGT regulates flagellar motility

The DegU response regulator mediates temperature-dependent control of flagellar motility gene expression

If the GmaR:MogR complex inactivates MogR-mediated repression of flagellar motility gene expression, what determines the temperature specificity of this interaction? Since MogR protein levels are temperature independent (Shen and Higgins 2006), we determined that the GmaR:MogR complex must occur only at low temperatures. In this study, we reveal that transcription of gmaR is temperature dependent, making GmaR available only at low temperatures to antagonize MogR (Fig. 6A,B). This environmental control of anti-repressor expression is also seen in the SinI:SinR system, where the SinR repressor is constitutively expressed and the SinI anti-repressor is expressed only under sporulation conditions (Bai et al. 1993).

Since previous studies revealed that the DegU response regulator was also required to antagonize MogR repression (Shen and Higgins 2006), we examined whether DegU mediates temperature-dependent control of GmaR expression. Epistasis analysis revealed that gmaR is both DegU-activated and MogR-repressed (Fig. 6A). Constitutive expression of GmaR in a DegU-negative strain revealed that GmaR functions downstream from DegU as a DegU-regulated factor required for flagellar gene expression (Fig. 3A). Since GmaR can be biologically active as an anti-repressor at 37°C (Fig. 6C), it is the DegU-mediated control of gmaR transcription that confers temperature specificity to flagellar gene expression. Microarray analyses comparing the transcriptional profile of ΔmogR ΔdegU to ΔmogR indicated that DegU is also required for transcriptional activation of flagellar genes located upstream of gmaR (data not shown). This observation suggests that critical components of the flagellar apparatus are absent in a ΔdegU strain, resulting in the lack of motility observed in ΔdegU/ΔgmaR (Fig. 3C). Specifically, although heterologous expression of GmaR in ΔdegU antagonizes MogR repression activity to restore FlaA expression, GmaR expression is not sufficient to activate transcription of additional DegU-regulated flagellar genes required for proper assembly of flagella. Studies are currently underway to identify the DegU-regulated promoter(s) and the mechanism controlling temperature-dependent transcriptional activation by DegU. Furthermore, aside from the proposed requirement for DegU to activate transcription of a subset of flagellar genes, an additional post-transcriptional mechanism appears to regulate flagellar motility during growth at 37°C. Even when flagellar motility gene transcription is artificially induced at 37°C by the constitutive expression of GmaR in ΔgmaR/cgmaR (Fig. 6C) and ΔmogR (Shen and Higgins 2006), FlaA protein levels are dramatically reduced.

Our results demonstrate that the DegU response regulator, the motility gene repressor MogR, and the bifunctional glycosyltransferase/anti-repressor GmaR comprise the molecular circuitry that mediates temperature-dependent regulation of flagellar motility gene expression in L. monocytogenes. In Figure 7, we depict a working model for L. monocytogenes flagellar gene expression using the flaA promoter region as an example. At physiological temperatures (37°C and higher), MogR completely represses flagellar gene expression by binding promoter region DNA, resulting in nonflagellated, nonmotile bacteria. As the temperature decreases, DegU either directly or indirectly activates gmaR transcription. Once gmaR is transcribed and translated, GmaR sequesters MogR in a GmaR:MogR complex, relieving repression of its own promoter and other flagellar gene promoters. Subsequent to production of FlaA, GmaR functions as a β-O-linked GlcNAc transferase mediating glycosylation of FlaA. Thus, GmaR functions as an anti-repressor that permits expression of FlaA, the substrate for its glycosyltransferase activity.
Role of flagellin glycosylation in *L. monocytogenes*

In some bacterial species, glycosylation has a significant role in the regulation of flagellar motility (for review, see Logan 2006). *L. monocytogenes*, however, is unlike any prokaryotic organism studied to date, since deletion of the flagellin glycosyltransferase results in a transcriptional defect rather than a post-transcriptional defect (Fig. 1C). Our results demonstrate that GmaR glycosyltransferase function is independent from its anti-repressor activity, since inactivation of glycosyltransferase activity by mutation has no effect on flagellar motility under the conditions examined (Fig. 4D). Therefore, unlike other organisms, the secretion and stability of *L. monocytogenes* flagellin is not inherently dependent upon glycosylation.

Although a significant number of secreted prokaryotic appendages, such as flagella and pili, are modified by glycosylation, the specific role for glycosylation in prokaryotic systems remains elusive. Similar to *L. monocytogenes*, glycosylation mutants in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* secrete unglycosylated flagellin that is assembled into fully functional flagella (Arora et al. 2005). These glycosylation mutants are defective in their induction of innate immune responses and attenuated in a burned-mouse model of infection, implicating a role for glycosylation in *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis (Arora et al. 2005; Verma et al. 2005). However, since flagella expression is repressed at physiological temperatures in *L. monocytogenes* and has been shown to be dispensable for virulence (Way et al. 2004), it is unlikely that glycosylation plays a role in *L. monocytogenes* infection or immune evasion. In support of this hypothesis, preliminary studies indicate that purified flagella from wild type (glycosylated) and ΔmogR ΔgmaR (unglycosylated) do not differentially activate NF-κB (data not shown). However, *L. monocytogenes* has the ability to thrive in a diverse range of biological niches, and glycosylation of flagella may be an important factor for environmental adaptation outside the host.

In this study, we provide the first example of a bifunctional prokaryotic flagellar OGT that controls expression of its glycosyltransferase substrate by anti-repression. By linking OGT activity and anti-repression function together in one protein, this system is primed to exclusively produce glycosylated flagella. While there exists several examples of bifunctional enzyme/transcription factors [Min et al. 1993; Ostrovsky de Spicer and Maloy 1993], GmaR is a unique example of an anti-repressor that controls the transcription of its own enzymatic substrate. It is interesting to speculate that by virtue of its ability to bind MogR, which itself binds the flaA promoter, GmaR may become spatially localized near the site of production of its enzymatic substrate, FlaA. Thus, the bifunctionality of GmaR may confer the spatial coordination required to ensure maximal glycosylation of the flagellin subunit in *L. monocytogenes*.

**Materials and methods**

**Strain construction and culture conditions**

Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: chloramphenicol 20 µg/mL for selection of pPL2 derivatives in *E. coli* and 7.5 µg/mL for selection of integrated pPL2 derivatives and transformed pCON1 strains in *L. monocytogenes*; 100 µg/mL carbenicillin for pCON1 derivatives in *E. coli*; 30 µg/mL kanamycin for pET vectors in *E. coli*; and 1 µg/mL erythromycin for selection of *L. monocytogenes* strains with Tn917-derived transposon insertions. Strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2, and primers are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Strain constructions are described in the Supple-
ential Material. All plasmid constructs were confirmed by automated sequencing.

**Motility assay**

A single colony was inoculated with a straight needle into BHI agar (0.375%). Motility was assessed after 48 h incubation at room temperature.

**Microarray analysis**

Microarray analyses were performed as previously described (Shen and Higgins 2006), except that the threshold for considering a gene as Lmo0688 regulated was set at a fold change >2.5 with \( p < 0.02 \). For hierarchical clustering, the Rosetta Resolver Euclidean clustering algorithm was employed to compute the dendrogram (Fig. 2).

**In vitro O-GlcNAc transferase assay**

Fourteen-hour to 16-h cultures of ΔmogR \( \Delta^{flaA} \) and ΔmogR \( \Delta^{flaA} \) were diluted 1:30 into 30 mL of BHI and grown for 4 h at 28°C with shaking. Bacteria were pelleted, resuspended in 300 µL Listeria lysate buffer (50 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, Complete–EDTA protease inhibitor mixture [Roche], 1 mg/mL lysozyme), and disrupted by mechanical lysis in Fast ProBlue tubes using the FastPrep apparatus (Qbiogene) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation. One microgram of purified His₆-tagged Lmo0688 or His₆-tagged D83N D85N, 0.5 µCi of \( [1^{4}C]\)-uridine diphosphate N-acetyl-D-glucosamine \( [1^{4}C]\)-UDP-GlcNAc, Perkin-Elmer), and 50 µL of L. monocytogenes cell extracts (equivalent to \( \sim 4 \) mL of culture) were mixed together with lysis buffer for a total volume of 100 µL. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 37°C, during which time 25-µL aliquots were removed and added to 25 µL of 2× loading buffer for 1 min, and 65 µL was loaded onto a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The resulting gel was processed for enhanced autoradiography using EN3HANCE (Perkin-Elmer) according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

**Gel mobility shift analysis**

Gel shift analysis was performed as previously described (Shen and Higgins 2006). For the binding reactions containing MogR and either Lmo0688 or DegU, 0.1 pmol of DNA probe was incubated with 0.8 pmol of His₆-tagged MogR protein in 1× BB for 30 min, then His₆-tagged Lmo0688 or His₆-tagged DegU was added at the indicated amount, and the entire reaction was incubated for an additional 30 min at 30°C. Reactions containing either His₆-tagged Lmo0688 or His₆-tagged MogR alone were incubated with 0.1 pmol of DNA probe for 30 min at 30°C. Binding reactions were analyzed as previously described (Shen and Higgins 2006).

**Affinity pull-down assays**

Affinity pull-down assays were performed using purified His₆-tagged Lmo0688 and L. monocytogenes cell lysates. To prepare cell lysates, 1 mL of a 14–16-h culture grown at room temperature was used to inoculate 100 mL BHI. The 100-mL BHI cultures were grown without shaking for 18–20 h at room temperature in 1-L flasks. Cultures were pelleted at 6500 \( \times g \) for 10 min, and the pellet was resuspended in 4 mL of PB buffer (10 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 6 mM imidazole, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT). Samples were processed for lysis in 1-mL volumes using FastProtein Blue tubes and a FastPrep apparatus (Qbiogene). Each 1-mL aliquot was processed for 20 sec at setting 6.0 and then placed on ice for 2 min, and the procedure was repeated three times. Bacterial cell extracts were recovered by pelleting the lysis matrix by centrifugation at 16,000 \( \times g \) for 20 min at 4°C. The recovered supernatant was then centrifuged an additional 10 min at 16,000 \( \times g \) at 4°C. Supernatant samples were pooled. To prepare the Ni-NTA agarose, 1 mL of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) was pelleted at 1000 \( \times g \) for 1 min, washed three times with 1 mL of PB, and resuspended in a final volume of 1 mL of PB. To each 1-mL volume of lysate, 100 µL of washed Ni-NTA agarose and 3 µg of His₆-tagged Lmo0688 were added. Pull-down reactions were incubated on a rotator platform for 6 h at 4°C. Samples were pelleted at 1000 \( \times g \) and washed twice in 1 mL of PB, incubated rotating for 10 min at 4°C, and then washed three times with 1 mL of PB. After a final centrifugation, the Ni-NTA beads and bound sample were resuspended in 80 µL of 2× loading buffer, boiled for 3 min, and centrifuged at 2000 \( \times g \) for 1 min, and 65 µL was loaded onto a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. Western blot analysis was performed using either a MogR-specific antibody or an Lmo0688-specific antibody.

**β-galactosidase measurement of flaA promoter activity**

β-galactosidase assays were performed as previously described (Shen and Higgins 2006). The flaA promoter–lacZ reporter fusion \( \Delta^{flaA}:Tn \) consists of a Tn917-lacZ transposon inserted within the flaA gene at nucleotide 117. However, the lacZ gene contains an associated ribosome-binding site.

**Data deposition**

The microarray data sets and Rosetta Resolver analyses reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession number GSE6032 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE6032].

**Supplemental Material**

Supplemental Material includes additional experimental procedures, two figures, and three tables.
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